

Mr. Baker

5/20/73

R. L. Schhardt

CONFIRMATION

1- Mr. Kinley  
 2- Mr. Baker  
 1- Mr. Bell  
 1- Mr. Schhardt  
 1- Mr. Gallagher  
 1- Mr. Long  
 1- Mr. Muzum

The Acting Director has inquired as to the circumstances which led the FBI to identifying George Gordon Liddy and tying him into the Watergate incident.

On 6/26/72, WFO obtained from the Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) the address books which belonged to Barker and Martinez and which were located during the search of the Watergate Hotel rooms rented by the subjects. The MPD took custody of all evidence which was obtained during that search since the search warrant was obtained by a MPD officer. One of the entries in Barker's address book was the name of George and telephone number 293-0362, which was determined to be a number at CRP utilized by Gordon Liddy.

Investigation to identify the subscribers to telephone numbers called by Hunt led us to Jack Bauman, Winter Haven, Florida, a retired CIA employee. Mr. Bauman told us of having been contacted in December, 1971, by Hunt and that about the end of December, 1971, Bauman met at the Playboy Plaza Hotel, Miami, with Hunt (who used the alias Warren) and an unidentified individual who seemed to be Hunt's supervisor. Bauman furnished us a description of this unidentified person.

When our Agents endeavored to interview Gordon Liddy at the CRP on 6/28/72, he declined to be interviewed. Liddy did say he was a former Bureau supervisor and the interviewing Agents noted that his description appeared to be close to that of the unknown individual whom Bauman described.

A copy of Liddy's photograph from his FBI personnel file was sent by telecopier to the Tampa office for display to Bauman. On 6/28/72, he stated he was almost certain that Liddy was the individual who accompanied Hunt but requested a

CAN/asm (9)

CONTINUED - OVER

Gabhardt to [redacted]  
[redacted]

photograph of a better quality be displayed in order to allow him to be positive. On 7/3/72, a more up-to-date photograph of Liddy which WFO had obtained was shown to Bauman and he positively identified Liddy as being the man who accompanied Hunt in Miami and who Bauman observed with Hunt at the Hay-Adams Hotel, Washington, D. C., in January, 1972.

It is also noted that on 7/3/72, Mary Denberg, Los Angeles, California, positively identified Liddy and Hunt as being two frequent visitors at the Morton B. Jackson law firm in Los Angeles (Jackson's identity was obtained as a result of Hunt's telephone toll calls).

It is further noted that on 6/17/72, the day of the Democratic Headquarters break-in, it was learned that one G. Leonard, Kansas City, Kansas, was registered at the Watergate Hotel with Ed Warren. The arrested subjects were also registered at that hotel under their aliases. Investigation by our Kansas City office, coincidentally, developed that there was a real George Leonard of the Kansas City area but he denied any knowledge of or relationship with the Watergate incident. We obtained a photograph of Mr. Leonard from him on a voluntary basis and used his photograph in displaying various pictures to witnesses we talked to. This accounts for the fact that some interview reports in our files show that we displayed groups of photographs including one of George Leonard and one of Gordon Liddy.

ACTION: This is for Mr. Gray's information.

WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

*Memorandum*

TO : James F. Neal

DATE: 9/24/74

FROM : *Gold* Gerald Goldman

GG:sfk

SUBJECT: The "Dahlberg" Check.

Roger Witten provided me with the following information concerning the so-called Dahlberg check:

Dwayne Andreas, a Democratic fund-raiser and contributor (especially to Humphrey), agreed to give \$25,000 to Nixon's campaign on the condition that the contribution be anonymous, which meant that it had to be made before April 7, 1972. Andreas arranged with Dahlberg, the Republican Minnesota regional fund-raising chairman, to transfer the contribution in person before April 7, but that arrangement fell through. As a result, Andreas left \$25,000 in cash in a safe deposit box in an apartment house that he owned in Florida for Dahlberg to pick up. Although this transfer, too, was supposed to have been before April 7, in fact, Dahlberg got the money after that date. (Later, both Dahlberg and Andreas initially lied to authorities about that.) Because Dahlberg was nervous about carrying cash, he transferred the money into a cashier's check, which he then brought to Washington, and on April 10 he gave the check to Stans. The check thereafter went from Stans to Sloan, to Liddy, and then to Barker for cashing.

According to Chuck Ruff, there is no reason to believe that Andreas' contribution was a corporate rather than a personal donation or that it involved (as some once suspected) a bank charter application that he had pending at about the time of the contribution.

Attached are (1) excerpts from a memorandum dated August 30, 1973, by Witten and Jim Quarles concerning Stans' connection to both the Ogarrio and Dahlberg checks, and (2) a memorandum dated April 29, 1974, by John Koeltl concerning the Liddy Watergate money, which you may find to be of some interest.

Attachments

*Charles F. Ruff*  
*Jill Wine - Walker*  
*mt to Walker*

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 3/12/03 BY 60270ks/dmy

Mr. Baker

3/16/73

R. E. Gebhardt

1- Mr. Kinley  
2- Mr. Baker  
1- Mr. Felt  
1- Mr. Gebhardt  
1- Mr. Gallagher  
1- Mr. Long  
1- Mr. Nuzum

**CONFIRMATION**

This is in response to the following questions of Mr. Gray:

(1) "4 days after the crime (on 6/21/72) we knew of Ogarrio and Dahlberg checks in Barker's bank account."

(2) "When did we get the destruction of records allegations? From Penny Gleason? Judy Hoback?"

(3) "And did we reinterview people after receiving these allegations re destruction?"

(4) "As I review 302's on Segretti it appears we interviewed on 6/28, twice, once for Q's and A's and once to look at pictures, also on 6/30 to look at pictures. Correct? but it also says we reinterviewed on 6/30/72."

COMMENTS

(1) This is correct. In an effort to trace the \$100 bills to the subjects by serial numbers, our Miami office on 6/20/72, learned from James L. Cook, Manager, Currency Department, Federal Reserve Bank, Miami, that \$50,000 in \$100 bills was shipped to the Republic National Bank of Miami at which Barker Associates, Inc., had accounts.

Pursuant to a subpoena duces tecum issued 6/20/72, Mr. Russell H. C. Vining, Comptroller and Assistant to the President of the Republic National Bank, made available on 6/21/72, the bank records of Barker Associates' trust and checking accounts. These records disclosed five deposit items to the trust account on 4/20/72, as follows: \$25,000 (Dahlberg cashier's check); \$18,000; \$32,000; \$15,000; and \$24,000 (Ogarrio bank drafts).

RECEIVED

JJC/ama (9)

CONTINUED - OVER

Gebhardt to Baker  
RE: CONFIRMATION

(2) On 7/18/72, Judy Hoback at her request was reinterviewed at her residence in detail (10 pages) concerning her duties at the Finance Committee, Committee to Reelect the President (FCRP). This is the first time she mentioned anything concerning destruction of documents as follows:

a. Four or five ledger books existing prior to 4/7/72, were destroyed.

b. All pertinent records concerning bank accounts existing prior to 4/7/72, were destroyed.

c. She observed G. Gordon Liddy and his secretary, Sally Harmony, prior to 6/17/72, shredding various office papers in the office shredder.

d. She heard from unrecalled persons that Liddy was shredding office papers on the day of the burglary, 6/17/72.

Millicent Macey (Penny) Gleason was reinterviewed at her request on 7/1-2/72, away from the CRP office, and in a 19 page FD-302 she advised on 7/1/72, in part as follows:

a. On 6/16/72, James McCord arranged with a security guard to have the keys for each desk and file cabinet on the second floor (FCRP) available in order that either Hugh Sloan or Gordon Liddy could go through them to locate some papers for destruction or insure that some papers had already been destroyed.

b. On 6/18/72, Bob Houston (McCord's assistant) at about 8:00 am, went to the file cabinet in the CRP security office and began removing files. One file, she stated, contained FBI teletypes. Another item which she mentioned as being missing was a handwritten memorandum from McCord to Timmons.

c. She noticed on 6/30/72, that the guest registrations at CRP for 6/17, 6/18, and 6/19/72, were missing.

Gebhardt to Baker  
RE: CONFIRMATION

d. On 6/29/72, Miss Gleason was instructed by Robert Odle to remove McCord's Identification Data Sheet.

Stephen Tingley Anderson, Security Guard at CRP, was interviewed twice on 6/30/72. Once was at CRP in the presence of CRP attorney and the second time was at his request without the presence of this attorney. On the second occasion he advised:

a. On 6/16/72, McCord instructed him to take a key from the master box of keys for each desk, file cabinet and office on the second floor (PCRP). McCord said this Committee had some papers which they had been ordered to destroy.

b. On 6/16 or 6/19/72, Bob Houston told Anderson he had taken out all the equipment (specific equipment not known).

(3) As stated previously in memorandum dated 3/5/73, those who were questioned relative to [redacted]

[redacted] Those questioned before Federal grand jury relative to the [redacted]

b3

[redacted] Robert Odle was specifically reinterviewed concerning the destruction of documents on 10/12/72, when he denied knowing of any one or giving any one, including Bob Houston, orders to remove files or memoranda from McCord's office. Odle stated that he saw Liddy destroying files on the afternoon of 6/17/72, using the CRP office shredder.

(4) SA Richard H. Pashley who participated in the interviews, advised on 3/15/73, that on both 6/28 and 6/30/72, the interviewing Agents were present with Segretti during one period of time on each date. Separate FD-302's on 6/28 and 6/30/72, concerning photographic identification were dictated in order that these FD-302's would stand on their own if introduced in court without necessarily introducing all the information obtained from Segretti during each of those interviews. This practice is not inconsistent with our reporting rules.

ACTION: This is for information.