

HJC

7/18/74

St Clair oral argument

1888 Rep. Maraziti raised point of order, that Dean should present case & then St. Clair offer rebuttal. Obvious unfair. Ruled out of order p1888

1890 Both felt testified he did not believe TT knew of any cover up. Dean stated on 4/16/73 tape that he had not passed anything along to the TT.

1891-1897 Briefly mentions ITT, Dairy contributions, Personal tapes, IRS above, Plombeco

1897 Halygate

1898 - D & D No advance knowledge

1898 - No 9/15/72 statement to Dean.

1899 - 3/21 meeting w/Dean.

1900

St. Clair says indictment says he talked to Michell in the afternoon of 3/21 -- later St. Clair amends his argument to offer from by indictment & assertion of the timing

MM

- ① Presented memo of 1/2/74
- ② Assertion to G.S. by WSPF
- ③ Revised presented memo of 6/6/74
- ④ Books by J. J. ... & Ben ...

1906 St. Clair closes.

1910 L. S. Clair brief was published "Brief on behalf of the IT of the US"  
in July, 1974

Perhaps ask David to specifically identify. I  
could copy it at Archive II.

HJC 2/19/94 Dear, Jenner & Summary of Information

4-10 Dear thanks St. Clair.

10-14 Dear says no conspiracy -- It directly from the beginning.

[compare to Obama's IRS/Bankruptcy records]

14 Dear concludes; Jenner begins

21 Summary of Information

54-60 Last Hunt payoff

Monday  
Wednesday → 8  
HJC July 3, 1974 Testimony of Fred LaRoe

175-225 Direct exam conducted by Al Jenner  
225-232 + by John Dear  
232-241 + by Tom St Clair  
241-243 Re-Direct by Dear & Jenner  
243-275 X-Exam by HJC Members

206 Last Payment to Britton [briefest mention]  
225- Dear asks about 3/1 payment [because he has been briefed by WSPF]  
LaRoe cannot fix the date in his mind  
229 He talked w/ Mitchell that day, best recollection is that it was in  
the morning -- Dear pushes hard; had gotten LaRoe to  
say the prior Wednesday that it could have been in the  
early afternoon.  
230 - Call from Dean ~~can~~ informing him of Hunt demand, come  
that morning. [Dean testifies they met in Dean's office 249-250 of  
230 - It was LaRoe who reduced the payment to \$25,000 -- just  
to cover the legal fees.  
231 All Dear could get was that LaRoe was not certain as to  
the times of these phone calls.  
st. Clair 236- 261 LaRoe thinks he called Mitchell immediately after  
call w/ Dean.  
180-183 Meeting of 3/30/72 in Key Biscayne. Mitchell did not approve  
the Liddy plan. 271 - St. Clair's submission said  
LaRoe call was - early pm. That is  
196 Meeting in Mitchell's apartment on 6/19/72 what he had  
to amend.  
Mitchell told Prosecutor to burn the documents

Tuesday July 9, 1994

HJC

July 10, 1994

John Mitchell

113 His counsel objected to his being subpoenaed 2 months before the  
corrup trial.

Purpose of the subpoena was at St. Claire's request concerning  
3/61 payment to Hunt's attorney.

113-124

Committee debate on propriety of subpoena, when Mitchell is  
defendant

124

Mitchell resigned as AG on 3/1/92 and became DC CRP  
Director on 4/9/92. He practiced law for intervening 5 weeks.

125

Resigned as CRP Director on 7/1/92 and remained as Consultant

125

He didn't hire Dean, he just didn't object to his being paid.

127

Calls were not logged while in private practice, which included 3/61-2/92.

Mitchell met w/ RN on 12/22/92

spoke on 12/25/92

met at law firm on 11/24/92

No other direct calls or meetings w/ TT since the election.

128-129

LaRue call, probably 3/61, probably before call from Halabran,  
but no records.

129

On 3/30 Mitchell called: WH here

O'Brien here

Parkinson here

LaRue here.

130

LaRue call (again) - substance of call

132

When told RN of my payments

132-133

WH Harrow

133

My WH paid 350,000 to Committee - fencing back 4 for the

139 - Discussion about post- 3/22/93 Meetings in DC

~~3/22~~ - Dean

3/27/93 - Maguder ans to NYC

3/28 Mitchell in DC

4/10 Mitchell met w/ Dean in DC law office

4/4 Mitchell meets w/ Chulchman - - typed

143

Jenner begins X-gram, Handley objects on grounds of relevancy. Lots of discussions about Mitchell's rights & prejudicing the trial.

154

Meeting in Mitchell's apartment was Monday night, June 19<sup>th</sup>

Dean, Maguder, Loe, Mardian & Mitchell

Jenner justifies his questioning of Mitchell about events unrelated to Presidential involvement, "for the committee ~~to~~ at least to judge the powers of recall of the witness with respect to a course of important events."

160

St Clair begins memorandum

4/4/92 Mitchell meet w/ Halloran & TI - - typed no discussion of electronic surveillance.

161

Discussion of events of 3/21/93

→ Since there are no full charges for a call to Loe on 3/21, Loe must have placed the call.

→ 16A

St Clair says indictment alleges Loe/Mitchell call was in early afternoon of 3/21 - - ~~signed~~ Mitchell's recollection is in am. St. Clair asks to reword his submission.

166 Committee members begin to question Mitchell

173-175 Discussion of 3/22 Stovall quote (Raisback)

177 More discussion of 3/22 Stovall quote (Eisberg)  
claims when it said cover-up it was open & shut  
obstruction. Mitchell counters it was discussion of no being  
accused of covering up by claiming senate privilege.

NB - Mitchell asserts there is no such material in transcript  
provided by SP. [They used HTC memo at that]

179 Re-confirms that LaRue call preceded Haldeman call on 3/31. (Dennis)

184 [NB - Mitchell denies any call from Sam on 3/30 about trucks bearing  
gifts. Per testimony Hurdley ~~etc~~]

186-187 Call from LaRue preceded that from Haldeman

188 Mitchell denial of 3/30/72 approval of Magruder/Liddy plan while  
at LaBascagne.

203

203

Sure that LaRue call preceded Haldeman's. Highly unlikely that  
Mitchell did not call LaRue back because there is no  
toll charge on that day

213

Mitchell reaffirms that he received no call from Sam on the

memo of 3/20/53, no description of Hank's demands for cash.  
Intell reports that his only description of Hank's monetary demands  
came from L. Lee.

213

Further review of discrepancies in the 3/22/53 tape transcript



HJC 2/11/74 John Dean

224-257 Dean; 257-288 St Clair; Committee Members

224 Dean omits JDE ordering Hunt out of the country. Why?

228 Virtually never met w/ or talked to T

230 Accounts for the \$330,000  
\$22,000 for advertising  
+ 6,800 expended  
\$15,200 into Dean's office safe  
\$328,000 remaining

233 Rep recording of Colson/Hunt tape for E & H at Camp David

234 Contents of Hunt's safe.

236 Dean destroys Lerner's notebook

238 Justifies his false Ervin Committee testimony

240, 242, 244, 247, 254,  
288, 311, 325, 336,

238 Events of final Hunt payment

Monday, 3/19 - O'Brien comes to Dean's office to relay Hunt demand in the afternoon

239 Dean reconstructs T meetings between 2/27 and 3/21

239-242 2/27, 2/28, 3/1 - Refreshing his memory from Ervin testimony [3 meetings on 3/1, 243]

242 3/6 - 3/7 - 3/8

3/10 - phone call when T was at Camp David

3/13 - Dr has transcript of the meeting

3/14 - 3/15 -

3/16 - 3/17

245 3/19

- Confirms logs as to when (Belmont to O'Brien mtg)

3/20 1:42 - 2:31 pm. (w/ Moore); T calls Dean at home.

246 3/20 sees E in mid-afternoon, brief, since E going to see T

247 Dean is uncertain whether TT thinks he is talking about Hunt or McCord at 3/21 meeting. Very strange!

248 Dean follows E's instructions to call Mitchell. He reaches him at home, but guarded conversation because of Martha. Cool talk about Greek housing gifts

249-250 Meets w/ LaRue, ~~was~~ certain it's a meeting. Not sure of date. Implication is that LaRue already knows of Hunt's demand.

[There simply was not enough time for Dean to have met w/ LaRue on the morning of 3/21.]  
251 3/22 - E, H, M & D meet in H's office in the morning. E asks about the Hunt money; M says it's taken care of.

252 When wanted to write Dean report, always resisted

253 Lies about TT calling & sending him to Camp David -- disclaims any request to write report

254 Returns Hogan on 3/25; Shaffer on 3/29

257 St Clair begins X-exam.

Two conflicts with O'Brien  
• Suggest O'Brien came to see Dean directly from Butman's office - Check O'Brien testimony  
• Also, O'Brien denies Dean said he was out of the money business

259 LaRue Meeting description -- very vague

States that Laine sought the meeting to ask what to do about Hunt's monetary demands.

263 - Dean does not tell T about sending Laine to see Mitchell  
Rennie, St. Clair X-gram

266 - Dean's testimony about outcome of 3/1 meeting conflict  
with St. Clair's testimony -- where he says it is  
left hanging.

272 - St. Clair says Dean was prepared to take the position before  
the Owen Committee that "there was no attorney-client  
privilege with respect to matters of public affairs."

[NB: St. Clair totally omits to ask about Dean's participation in  
meetings in AG's office.]

282 - Dean confirms informal start of the cover-up

\*\*\* 283 - Dean claim cover-up was to protect Plunkers every bit as much as to protect CRP. [I am sure as hell don't say this to prosecutors!!]

284 - Clines he told E. H. that Magruder had serious problems & might be indicted.

284 - Tries to deny information of perjury and that he told President of his involvement w/ Magruder.

286 - Dean admits he employed Confield to offer clemency.

287 - Opening door to safe.

296 - Dean says he destroyed the former notebooks & pop-up directory because he had just learned that Pat Gray had destroyed the other documents.

[NB: Another reason for Devin's confession of Dean on August 2, 1974, was the publication of his testimony before HTC (of July 11<sup>th</sup>), which contained lots of adverse disclosures. HTC voted three articles of impeachment on July 27-30, but did not include specifics from Devin's testimony.]

297 - On 3/0, E suggested Dean call Amy Peterson & discuss Krueger's perjury problem - if it could be overlooked on grounds of national security. Dean agreed to do so, but never did.

297 - Dean suggests that H misunderstood Devin's reference to Hunt, thinking he was talking about Melrod on 3/21.

300 - Kalsbeck hits hard on why Dean never told TT about telling

\*

Laloe to go see Mitchell [or that Sean had refused to approve the particular payment]. Sean waffles & then says "perhaps I met w/ Laloe after I saw the TT. But, then since he had any obligation to do anything after the meeting w/ the TT. It was all left hanging."

301

On request, to IRS audit of the Green staff by Jonnie Walker, E specifically instructed Sean not to use the TT's name. Confirms that TT did not know.

307

Sean claims not to have read Kenne's notebook before destroying them.

308

Sean claims his purpose in seeing the TT on 2/21 was to persuade him not to pay Hunt -- but got turned around by the discussion [yet he does not tell TT that he has refused to approve & directed Laloe to contact Mitchell.]

312

Sean says transcripts of TT conversations between 2/21 and 3/21 will show lots of Watergate conversations.

320

Sean again denies his purpose in going to Camp David was to write a report for the TT.

325

Issue of accuracy of transcript on whether coverage referred to "private privilege" -- and proves that it does. Check for date of disputed transcript.

332 Dean states he was never instructed by T to acquiesce in payment of money to Hunt.

333 Dean states he never heard Mitchell telling Ingham that "he ought to see a pro."

333 Admits showing 300 forms to Hurdman, Parkman, & O'Brien.

~~338~~

345 Dean summarizes 21 meeting as saying T decided Hunt had to be paid, but Dean was not responsible. His testimony about "nothing was reached" was confined solely to the \$1 million. [ Astonishing ]

355 Unanimous consent is given that Dean's testimony be publicly released.

Haldeman v Sirico      The Road Map

Wednesday, March 6, 1974

Oral Argument before Judge Sirico

- 3 St Clair takes no position, says if he authorized him to say he will turn over to HTC all material submitted to GJ and will respond to written interrogatories
- 6 Wilson says no case since 1865 has allowed or accepted a grand jury report in DC
- 13 Sirico mentions ~~st~~ having discussed Janowski letter with his colleagues, only to read about it in the papers.
- 14 Wilson argues <sup>①</sup> risk of adverse publicity
- 15 <sup>②</sup> HTC is not a judicial proceeding w/in Rule 6(a)
- 21 Doar says HTC is not submitting to the jurisdiction of the court but appearing to describe the relationships between their impeachment inquiry & the matters pending before the court.
- 24 Admits HTC may decide to publicize materials in their actions.
- 25 Sirico asks if HTC has considered delaying impeachment until after cover-up trial.
- 27-29 Doar says HTC has procedure to keep the stuff secret

31 St. Clair states that since it has directed him to provide HTC w/ all material submitted to GT, there is no need for the stuff to go to HTC.

32 Jenner argues the issue is one of first instance, that Sevicia alone is asked to decide the issue of monumental importance & impact.

35 Argues that Judge can respond to pretrial publicity in other ways at time of trial - postpone, remove, etc

36 Argues there is a responsibility of every citizen to aid & assist House in its job!

37 Says 6(a) only applies to matters occurring before the GT & not to evidence. ~~Q~~

38 Repeat citizen obligated to assist HTC. Besides, you possess this evidence; how you come into possession is irrelevant, you owe the evidence to HTC.

pp 40-50 blank, since changing stenographers

51 ~~Secorera presents WSPF positions~~  
Hondley for Mitchell

56 Dickstein, on behalf of Cohen, says Juorshi memo is ex parte to defendants

57 Broy, for Strachan says absolutely no presentment while GT remains in session



61 Sacovara for WSPF

63-68 Bunch conference

— 69 Regular federal grand juries have inherent constitutional power to submit to the court that empowers it something other than an indictment or no true bill.

-citing lots of precedents

73 Impeachments are different

77 Cites Poff statement during debate on Organized Crime Control Act of 1970 that no intent to interfere w/ regular grand jury's rights.

77 Having established the right of GJ to issue reports, Sacovara goes on to discuss Court's discretion on what to do w/ it.

79 What the JT turned over to GJ & what the GJ reports may not be the same.

84 BUT only risk of adverse publicity is this additional material, which Court can evaluate.

86 Discussion regarding 2 page transmitted letter

90 Wilson rebuttal  
of Sacovara  
of Poor  
of Jemes

100 Wilson says he wants to see the colloquy between the  
grand jurors and the Prosecutor when they made up the  
Report & selected the documents. [sarcastically]